

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,883	02/24/2004	Manfred Feustel	100868.53189US	9950	
23911 7	23911 7590 11/23/2004			EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			CYGAN, MICHAEL T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300		2855		

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/784,883	FEUSTEL, MANFRED			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Michael Cygan	2855			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t , cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
2a)□						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8,11-14 and 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7,9,10,15 and 16 is/are objected to.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🛛 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/24/04</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/784,883

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8, 11, 13, 14, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Skytt (Poly. Eng. Sci. 1996). Skytt discloses the claimed invention, an apparatus for determining rheological properties such as viscosity comprising two IR-transparent ZnSe disks rotating relative to each other at a selectable distance (one disk movably driven) and holding between them the substance to be analyzed, the substance heated by a heater connected to a disk, the analysis being infrared spectroscopic simultaneous with rheological measurement. Since the disks are wholly transparent, multiple light passages are available. The control unit of the apparatus compares gathered data to known viscosity data. See entire document, especially pages 1738-1742.

Claims 1-3, 5, 8, 11-14, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuzaka (Rev. Sci. Instrum. 1999). Matsuzaka discloses the claimed invention, an apparatus for determining rheological properties such as viscosity comprising two transparent disks rotating relative to each other at a

Application/Control Number: 10/784,883

Art Unit: 2855

selectable distance (one disk movably driven) and holding between them the substance to be analyzed, the substance heated by a heater connected to a disk, the analysis being infrared spectroscopic simultaneous with rheological measurement. Since the disks are wholly transparent, multiple light passages are available. One disk may be a cone plate. The control unit of the apparatus compares gathered data to known viscosity data. See entire document, especially pages 2387-2390 and Figure 13.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 9, 10, 15, and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the features of ATR, reflection surfaces, and inclined surfaces are neither disclosed nor fairly taught by the prior art when considered with the other positively recited features of the claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Khan (US 5,105,655), Ikeda (US 4,936,674), and Parshall (US 5,905,196).

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cygan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2175. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL CYRASI, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMPLER